**PROJECT PROGRESS ASSESSMENT REPORT** **(PPAR)**

**National Projects**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ***Explanations*** |
| ***SECTION-1: BASIC INFORMATION*** |
| **Project Number and Title**    |  | *(prefilled)* |
| **Country** |  |
| **Counterpart** **Name & Institution**  |  |
| **1st Year of Approval** |  |
| **Estimated Duration** |  |
| **Expected End Date** |  |
| **Total Project Budget** *(as per IAEA White Book)* |  |
| **Reporting Period** | [ ]  January - June [ ]  July - December | *Tick one reporting period* |
| **Report Contributors**  |       | *Other contributors to the report besides counterpart* |
| **Has there been any major change that affected the project?** | [ ]  Yes [ ]  NoIf yes, tick to specify nature of change(s):[ ]  CP1 [ ]  NLO2 [ ]  PMO3 [ ]  TO4[ ]  Budget/funding; [ ]  Other (*specify*)[Provide explanation]……       | *Select “Yes” or “No” and, if “Yes”, please tick relevant box(es) and describe nature of impact* |
|  |  |  |
| ***SECTION-2: OUTPUTS ACHIEVEMENT*** |
| *Select status of Output and briefly describe elements of progress towards target indicators: (1st column prefilled)* |
| Output 1: …Indicator(s): … | [ ]  Completed [ ]  On schedule [ ]  Delayed [ ]  Other (*specify*) [Provide explanation]……       | *Select status and provide explanation/ supporting background information (e.g., Why is the output delayed? What mitigation measures have been taken to solve the issue?)* |
| Output 2: …Indicator(s): … | [ ]  Completed [ ]  On schedule [ ]  Delayed [ ]  Other (*specify*) [Provide explanation]……       |
| Output 3: …Indicator(s): … | [ ]  Completed [ ]  On schedule [ ]  Delayed [ ]  Other (*specify*) [Provide explanation]……       |
| Output 4: …Indicator(s): … | [ ]  Completed [ ]  On schedule [ ]  Delayed [ ]  Other (*specify*) [Provide explanation]……       | *Insert additional rows if more than 4 outputs* |
|  |  |  |
|   |  |   |
| ***SECTION-3: EQUIPMENT & HUMAN RESOURCES*** |
| *Based on TC Input categories, rate overall contribution towards achievement of project Outputs of Procurement and Human Resources capacity building Activities implemented thus far* |
| Equipment (EQ)/ Sub-Contract (SC) | [ ]  Not Applicable[ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor[Provide explanation]……       | *Select overall rating and provide explanation/ supporting background information deemed relevant to support rating**(e.g., Is the procured EQ on schedule as regards delivery/ custom clearance/ installation-commissioning/ utilization? If not, what is being done to overcome difficulties?**How did/ will the training received through FEs/ SVs support the establishment of new services? Are the trainees still employed?**How did/ will the technical guidance received during/after EMs help improve capabilities of the Counterpart Institute?* *Was/will the knowledge and experience gained by TC/ WS participants shared/ be shared among colleagues to enhance institutional performance? How was/ will this done/ be done?)* |
| Expert Missions (EM) | [ ]  Not Applicable[ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor[Provide explanation]……       |
| Fellowships (FE) | [ ]  Not Applicable[ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor[Provide explanation]……       |
| Scientific Visits (SV) | [ ]  Not Applicable[ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor[Provide explanation]……       |
| National Training Courses (TC) | [ ]  Not Applicable[ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor[Provide explanation]……       |
| Meetings (MT)/ Workshops (WS) | [ ]  Not Applicable[ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor[Provide explanation]……       |
|   |  |   |
| ***SECTION-4: COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS BY CP*** |
| **Rating by CP**  | The project performance:[ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor [ ]  Very Poor[Provide explanation]……       | *Select rating based on experience thus far and provide explanation/ supporting background information deemed relevant to support rating* |
| The support received from the Agency: [ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor [ ]  Very Poor[Provide explanation]……       |
| **Lessons learned** |       | *Highlight key factors of success / failure that can promote/ hinder the achievement of project outputs and may impact TC Programme delivery* |
| **Recommendation(s) by CP to:** | [ ] PMO      [ ] TO      [ ] NLO/Government      [ ] CP Management      [ ] Other (specify)       | *Select addressee and provide recommendation(s) to be addressed* |
|   |  |   |
| ***SECTION-5: OUTCOME PROGRESS:*** *(1st column prefilled)* |
| Outcome Statement… | [ ]  Achieved [ ]  To be achieved as planned (on schedule)[ ]  Delayed [ ]  Other (*specify*)[Provide explanation]……       | *Select status and provide explanation/ supporting background information (e.g., based on the outcome indicator and its target value, to what extent the outcome is being achieved? Is there any deviation from expectations? Why?)* |
| Outcome Indicator (s)… |
|   |  |   |

|  |
| --- |
| ***SECTION-6: CLEARANCE BY NLO*** |
| **Clearance by NLO** | Date:       | *Day, Month and Year* |
| Remarks:       | *Provide any additional remark deemed relevant* |
|

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   |  |   |

***SECTION-7: FEEDBACK BY IAEA ON THE REPORT*** |
| **Comments by TO(s)** | [ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor [ ]  Very Poor [Provide explanation]……       | *Rating and feedback from TO(s)* ***on the report*** |
| **Comments by PMO** | [ ]  Very Good [ ]  Good [ ]  Fair [ ]  Poor [ ]  Very Poor [Provide explanation]……       | *Rating and feedback from PMO(s)* ***on the report*** |
|  |  |  |

1**CP**: Counterpart 2 **NLO**: National Liaison Officer

3**PMO**: Programme Management Officer 4**TO**: Technical Officer